UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/597,073 | 07/10/2006 | Giovanni Maria Laporta | MARKS PA 4879 | 5725 |
| 27667 HAYES SOLO | 7590 08/05/201 WAY P.C. | 0 | EXAM | INER |
| | ISE DRIVE, SUITE 14 | 0 | HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE | |
| TUCSON, AZ 85718 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3781 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/05/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

admin@hayes-soloway.com smckniff@hayes-soloway.com nsoloway@hayes-soloway.com

| Office Action Summary Examiner ROBIN HYLTON 3781 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHOPTENED STATUTORY REPLODED FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS | | | | | | |
|--|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| ROBIN HYLTON 3781 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHOPTENED STATILITORY DEDICE FOR DEDLY IS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MONITURS OF THIRTY (20) DAVE | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 May 2010. | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| / | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-21</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | , , , | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-21</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| ·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/597,073 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-4 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Merry (US 4,838,442). Disclosed is a stopper having body (78), a passageway (16), a chamber (18) housing an oxygen-scavenging medium (30,52), a closure (8), and a mechanism (40) for compressing the sealing member. Also disclosed an actuator (48) external to be bottle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merry in view of Balderson et al. (US 5,617,812).

Merry discloses the claimed bottle stopper except for a color change indicator and a window for viewing the indicator.

Balderson discloses in the embodiment illustrated in figures 5-8 a closure having a window (21) and a color change indicator (22) incorporated in the oxygen scavenging material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of a color change indicator to the oxygen-scavenger material and a window for viewing the indicator to the stopper of Merry. Doing so allows for the consumer to know of potential deterioration of the associated bottle contents.

4. Claims 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merry (US 4,838,442) in view of Balderson et al. (US 5,617,812).

Merry discloses a bottle stopper having body (78), a passageway (16) a chamber (18) housing an oxygen-scavenging medium (30,52), a closure (8), a mechanism (40) for

compressing the sealing member, and an actuator (48) for opening the closure. Merry does not disclose for a color change indicator and a window for viewing the indicator.

Balderson discloses in the embodiment illustrated in figures 5-8 a closure having a window (21) and a color change indicator (22) incorporated in the oxygen scavenging material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of a color change indicator to the oxygen-scavenger material and a window for viewing the indicator to the stopper of Merry. Doing so allows for the consumer to know of potential deterioration of the associated bottle contents.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed May 17, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the actuator is part of the stopper) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Claim 1 sets forth the stopper is operable by an actuator that is external to the bottle. However, the claim does not clearly set forth that the stopper also comprises the actuator. Moreover, when the actuator is engaged with the stopper is considered to be part of the stopper assembly.

Claim 21 sets forth the stopper chamber is accessible through removal of a screw-threaded or push/snap-fit cap. The cap (8) of Merry is a push/snap-fit cap since it is pushed onto the stopper to seal the chamber and is set forth at column 3, lines 35-37 to be removable and replaceable. At column 2, lines 12-13 set forth the lid is heat sealed **or** otherwise attached to the

Application/Control Number: 10/597,073 Page 4

Art Unit: 3781

stopper top wall. The cap is not required to be heat sealed to the surface as asserted by applicant.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 7. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- 8. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720/80 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify

Art Unit: 3781

the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720/80 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

9. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

| Patent | I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled to The U and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below: | .S. |
|--------|--|-----|
| | Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate | |
| | Signature | |
| | Date | |

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday, **EXCEPT** Wednesday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick, can be reached on (571) 272-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov